



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,442	09/04/2001	W. Stephen G. Mann		1839

7590 08/27/2004
W. Stephen G. Mann
284 Bloor Street West, Suite 701
Toronto, ON M5S 3B8
CANADA

EXAMINER

HESELTIME, RYAN J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 08/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/944,442

Applicant(s)

MANN, W. STEPHEN G.

Examiner

Ryan J Hesseltine

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2 and 4, drawn to a method of delivering a package and a delivery system comprising a case for carrying a package, classified in class 382, subclass 115.
 - II. Claim 3, drawn to a method of delivering a package including providing a carrier with means for incidentalist picture capture, classified in class 382, subclass 101.
 - III. Claim 5, drawn to a delivery system comprising a delivery uniform comprising a wearable computer system and an image capture system, classified in class 345, subclass 8.
 - IV. Claims 6 and 8, drawn to a vaccination facility, classified in class 424, subclass 9.2.
 - V. Claim 7, drawn to a suspect selector comprising an entrance to a facility and a turnstile for allowing a person to enter, classified in class 49, subclass 46.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a package delivery case and method including biometric identification such as fingerprints, invention II has separate utility such as a delivery method including providing a package carrier with an image capturing device to capture an image of the recipient, and invention III has separate utility such as a

Art Unit: 2623

delivery system comprising a delivery uniform comprising a wearable computer system including an image capture system and a wireless communications link. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Inventions I-III and IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are delivery systems and methods of delivery a package (inventions I-III), and a vaccination facility and suspect selector (inventions IV and V).

4. Inventions IV and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a vaccination facility comprising an exit operable only in response to receiving of a vaccination from a vaccinator, and invention V has separate utility such as a suspect selector comprising movable panels for a turnstile and an exit operable only in response to moving of at least one of said panels together with said turnstile. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I-III is not required for Groups IV and V, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Priority

Art Unit: 2623

7. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on applications filed in Canada on October 29, 1998, December 31, 1998, March 15, 1999, and July 28, 1999. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed certified copies of the 2,248,473, 2,256,922, 2,264,973, and 2,280,022 applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b). Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) based upon applications filed in Canada on October 29, 1998, December 31, 1998, March 15, 1999, and July 28, 1999. A claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) cannot be based on said application, since the United States application was filed more than twelve months thereafter.

Information Disclosure Statement

9. The information disclosure statement filed September 4, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan J Hesseltine whose telephone number is 703-306-4069.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on 703-308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ryan J. Hesseltine
August 23, 2004



JINGGE WU
PRIMARY EXAMINER



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	INVENTOR NAME	ATTY. DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	---------------	------------------

EXAMINER

ART. UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

NOTICE OF INSUFFICIENT FILING FEES

APPLICANT IS GIVEN 30 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF MAILING OF THIS NOTICE WITHIN WHICH TO SUBMIT THE BALANCE DUE. Extension of this 30 day period under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will not be permitted. Failure to respond within this period will result in the application becoming abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.

The filing fees submitted in connection with this application are insufficient. See the attached Patent Application Fee Determination Record (Form PTO-875). The balance due for additional claims and/or multiple dependent claims is summarized below:

- ☒ A. Filing Fees due upon filing the application
- | | | |
|----------------------------|------|-----------------------|
| Total Filing Fees Due | = \$ | 610 |
| Less Filing Fees Submitted | = \$ | (475) |
| BALANCE DUE | = \$ | 135 → multiple claims |
- ☐ B. Fees due in connection with the amendment filed on _____
- | | | |
|---------------------|------|---------|
| Total Fees Due | = \$ | _____ |
| Less Fees Submitted | = \$ | (_____) |
| BALANCE DUE | = \$ | _____ |

ATTACHMENT: FORM PTO-875

Clerk of Group

APPLICANT: PLEASE COMPLETE THIS PORTION AND RETURN THIS NOTICE WITH PAYMENT

Fee submitted \$ _____ Signature _____

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this notice and the required additional fee are being deposited with the U.S. POSTAL SERVICE as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on (date) _____